# Dialectics of Information Seeking Behaviour: A Survey of Pharmacy Faculty in Andhra Pradesh and their Use of Library Services and Facilities

## M. Doraswamy

#### Abstract

This article attempts a critical study of the information seeking behaviour among faculty members in pharmaceutical sciences, working in various institutions, affiliated Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra University and Kakatiya University in Andhra Pradesh. To elicit responses on a wide range of issues, a comprehensive questionnaire was circulated among 700 pharmacy faculty members, out which 545 faculty members responded by submitting filled in questionnaires; and overall response rate recorded an impressive figure of 78%. One of the most remarkable findings pertains to the higher usage of library services by faculty at Assistant Professors' grades, whereas the senior levels represented by Associate Professors and Professors perform poorly in making use of reference service, inter library loan, internet searching etc. Another aspect the finding props up is related to an overwhelming use of reprographic facility as well as borrowing. On the other hand, ancillary services such as current awareness services and selective dissemination of information services fail to evoke much response among faculty members.

**Keywords:** Information seeking behaviour; Library services; Library facilities; Pharmacy colleges; Pharmacy faculty members.

#### Introduction

Academic Librarians are constantly engaged in facilitating gratification of their users thirst for information. However, an in-depth understanding of the users' information needs along with deciphering the underlying patterns of information seeking behavior form an integral part of the librarians' strategic efforts to deliver various services effectively. The present study critically analyses the various stands and patterns of information seeking behavior prevalent among pharmacy faculty at 88 pharmacy colleges affiliated to Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra University and Kakatiya University. Among

to gain better insights into the change responsive behaviour among such faculty, who are expected to be sensitive to the changing paradigms of technology and learning methodologies. Here, the researchers primarily focus to understand specific parameters, such as frequency of information seeking/access to information, various specific task, nature of information access, tools adopted to keep them updated. It is highly desirable to develop a sound understanding of the user community's psychological and cultural preferences as well as the various information seeking habits and practices employed by users. And a better, insightful perspective into the information seeking behaviour of pharmacy faculty shall enable librarians to customize more effective tools to dispense library services among pharmacy faculty members. Moreover, it may facilitate implementation of suitable policies that ensure swift access to quality information services sand facilities.

other pertinent tasks, the Librarians attempt

**Author's Affilation:** \*Associate Professor & Head, Dept. of Library and Information Science (Bishop Caldwell Eresources Centre), Dravidian University, Srinivasavanam, Kuppam - 517425, AP, India.

**Reprint's Request: Dr. M.Doraswamy**, Associate Professor & Head, Department of Library and Information Science (Bishop Caldwell E-resources Centre), Dravidian University, Srinivasavanam, Kuppam – 517425, AP, India.

E-mail: doraswamy\_msd@yahoo.com

## Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study are:

- To measure the level of use of available library services and facilities by the pharmacy faculty members;
- To recommend concrete plans and suggestions to Pharmacy College authorities for providing the better library services and facilities based on inputs collected from pharmacy faculty members.

## Hypothesis of the study

- Assistant Professors were found to make better use of the library services and facilities available in their libraries when compared to Associate Professors and Professors.
- Significant differences do not exist in the patterns and frequency in use of Library services and facilities among the pharmacy faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra University and Kakatiya University.

#### *Limitation of the study*

The study could only cover the pharmacy colleges affiliated to Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam and Kakatiya University, Warangal. Future attempts shall attempt to widen and cover larger number of Institutions.

#### Methodology

In order to study the information seeking behaviour of pharmacy faculty members, the state of Andhra Pradesh in India has been chosen. There are more than 30 universities in the state of Andhra Pradesh. Among them Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra University and Kakatiya University are well established. As there are many colleges affiliated to these universities, the researcher has selected only pharmacy colleges and only

faculty members. At present there are 1688 faculty members in the above selected affiliated pharmacy colleges of Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra University and Kakatiya University. Since the population is too large to study in view of time and cost involved, a sample of 700 (41.5%) of the total population) faculty members has been drawn from the total population by simple random method. A total of 700 questionnaires were distributed among the members of pharmacy faculty and 545 duly filled in questionnaires were received, thus resulting into a response rate of 78%.

#### Data Analysis

After collecting the data from the members of the pharmacy faculty, the data has been checked and analyzed according to the objectives and hypotheses stated. First the data is recorded on the data sheets, and then fed into the computer. The data has been tested with Chi-square test by using the SPSS (Software Package for Social Sciences). If the observed frequency was found to be less than five in any cell of row, that row was merged with its adjacent row while calculating its chi-square value.

## Use of library services and facilities

The extent of use of different library services and facilities, namely borrowing facilities, reference service, bibliographical service, current awareness service, selective dissemination of information service, inter library loan, reprographic service and internet searching were discussed in the following paragraphs.

## Borrowing facilities

The distribution of pharmacy faculty members according to the level of use of borrowing facilities of the library, designation and university is shown in Table 1.

It is evident from Table 1 that 63.12 percent of the pharmacy faculty members are using the borrowing facilities completely, 21.65

Table 1: Distribution of Pharmacy Faculty Members according to the Level of Use of
Borrowing Facilities

	Designation			τ			
Level of use	Asst. Professor	Assoc. Professor	Professor	ANU	AU	KU	Total
Manainally	43	21	19	23	28	32	83
Marginally	(14.58)	(15.56)	(16.52)	(15.86)	(16.97)	(13.62)	(15.23)
C - 1 ( ( - 11	65	28	25	19	34	65	118
Substantially	(22.03)	(20.74)	(21.74)	(13.10)	(20.61)	(27.66)	(21.65)
Commistales	187	86	71	103	103	138	344
Completely	(63.39)	(63.70)	(61.74)	(71.04)	(62.42)	(58.72)	(63.12)
Total	295 (100.00)	135 (100.00)	115 (100.00)	145 (100.00)	165 (100.00)	235 (100.00)	545 (100.00)

Table 2: Distribution of Pharmacy Faculty Members according to the Level of Use of Reference Service

	]	Designation		1	Universitie	S		
Level of use	Asst. Professor	Assoc. Professor	Professor	ANU	AU	KU	Total	1
	47	29	23	27	29	43	99	00500
Marginally	(15.93)	(21.48)	(20.00)	(18.62)	(17.57)	(18.30)	(18.16)	.23533
Substantially	75	37	31	25	44	74	143	
	(25.42)	(27.41)	(26.96)	(17.24)	(26.67)	(31.49)	(26.24)	there is
	173	69	61	93	92	118	303	f use of
Completely	(58.65)	(51.11)	(53.04)	(64.14)	(55.76)	(50.21)	(55.60)	armacy
Total	295	135	115	145	165	235	545	garjuna
	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	ty. It is
	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ,	,	· · · · · ·		· · · · · ·	ibich ic

at 0.99 levels with two degrees of freedom.

 $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Assoc. Prof): 0.58898 df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Prof): 0.88454 df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (Assoc.Prof.-Prof): 0.949521 df :2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2(ANU-AU)$ : 0.17694df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2(ANU-KU)$ : 0.003981

significant at 0.99 level with two degrees of freedom. That means, more number of faculty members of Kaktiya University are using the borrowing facility when compared to faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University. However, there are no significant differences between the faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University and Andhra University on the one hand, Andhra University and Kakatiya University on the other in the level of use of borrowing facility as evidenced by

	bibliographical Service								
	Designation			τ					
Level of use	Asst.	Assoc.	Assoc. Professor		AU	KU	Total		
	Professor	<b>Professor</b>	110163301	ANU	AC	NO			
Marginally	52	35	27	32	34	48	114		
Marginally	(17.63)	(25.93)	(23.48)	(22.07)	(20.61)	(20.43)	(20.92)		
Substantially	87	37	36	31	51	78	160		
	(29.49)	(27.41)	(31.30)	(21.38)	(30.91)	(33.19)	(29.36)		
Commission	143	55	43	75	72	94	241		
Completely	(48.47)	(40.74)	(37.39)	(51.72)	(43.63)	(40.00)	(44.22)		
NI-1 -1 -11	13	8	9	7	8	15	30		
Not at all	(4.41)	(5.92)	(7.83)	(4.83)	(4.85)	(6.38)	(5.50)		
Total	295	135	115	145	165	235	545		
	(4.00, 00)	(400.00)	(4.00, 0.0)	(4.00.00)	(4.0.0.00)	(400.00)	(400 00)		

(100.00)

(100.00)

Table 3: Distribution of Pharmacy Faculty Members according to the Level of Use of

the chi-square values, which are not significant at 0.99 level with two degrees of freedom.

(100.00)

(100.00)

## Reference service

The distribution of pharmacy faculty members according to the level of use of reference service of the library, designation and university is shown in Table 2.

It is evident from Table 2 that 55.60 percent of the pharmacy faculty members are using the reference service completely, 26.24 percent of them are using substantially and the remaining 18.16 percent are using marginally.

It is evident from Table 2 that there is significant difference in the level of use of reference service between the pharmacy faculties of Assistant Professor and Professor. It is proved by chi-square value, which is significant at 0.99 levels with two degrees of freedom. That means, more number of Assistant Professors are using the reference service when compared to Professors. However, there are no significant differences between the faculties of Assistant Professors and Associate Professors on the one hand, Associate Professors and Professors on the other in the level of use of reference service as evidenced by the chi-square values, which are not significant at 0.99 level with two degrees of freedom.

(100.00)

(100.00)

(100.00)

 $\mathbf{T}_{i}$ 

 $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Assoc. Prof): 0.26055 df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level

 $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Prof): 0.0000 df: 2 TV: 0.0201 Sig at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (Assoc.Prof.-Prof): 0.95217 df:2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2(ANU-AU)$ : 0.95217 df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2(ANU-KU)$ : 0.000633

The distribution of pharmacy faculty members according to the level of use of bibliographical service of the library, designation and university is shown in Table

 $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Assoc. Prof): 0.1623 df: 3 TV: 0.115 NS at 0.99 level

0.1279 Table 5: Distribution of Pharmacy Faculty Members according to the Level of Use of **Selective Dissemination of Information Service** 

		Designation		1	Universitie	s		0.8059
Level of use	Asst. Professor	Assoc. Professor	Professor	ANU	AU	KU	Total	evel 0.2847
Manainally	55	41	34	37	39	54	130	evel
Marginally	(18.64)	(30.37)	(29.56)	(25.52)	(23.64)	(22.98)	(23.85)	0.0522
Substantially	89	34	41	32	52	80	164	evel
Substantiany	(30.17)	(25.19)	(35.65)	(22.07)	(31.51)	(34.04)	(30.09)	
Completely	133	45	29	65	63	79	207	0.8223
Completely	(45.09)	(33.33)	(25.22)	(44.83)	(38.18)	(33.62)	(37.98)	evel
Not at all	18	15	11	11	11	22	44	1.22 percent
Not at all	(6.10)	(11.11)	(9.57)	(7.58)	(6.67)	(9.36)	(8.08)	s are using
77.4.1	295	135	115	145	165	235	545	etely, 29.36
Total	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	_tially, 20.92
								.50 percent

Nagarjuna University and Andhra University on the one hand, Andhra University and Kakatiya University on the other in the level of use of reference service as evidenced by the chi-square values, which are not significant at 0.99 level with two degrees of freedom.

are using not at all.

It is also evident from Table 3 that there are no significant differences in the level of use of bibliographical service between the pharmacy faculties of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor. It is proved by chisquare values, which are not significant at 0.99

Bibliographical service

Titler Library Loan									
	Designation			τ					
Level of use	Asst. Professor	Assoc. Professor		ANU	ANU AU		Total		
Manainally	55	27	25	30	32	45	107		
Marginally	(18.65)	(20.00)	(21.74)	(20.69)	(19.39)	(19.15)	(19.63)		
Cubatantially	87	39	32	31	51	76	158		
Substantially	(29.49)	(28.89)	(27.83)	(21.38)	(30.91)	(32.34)	(28.99)		
Completely	136	46	39	69	66	86	221		
Completely	(46.10)	(34.07)	(33.91)	(47.59)	(40.00)	(36.60)	(40.55)		
Not at all	17	23	19	15	16	28	59		
Not at all	(5.76)	(17.04)	(16.52)	(10.34)	(9.70)	(11.91)	(10.83)		
Total	295	135	115	145	165	235	545		
	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)		

Table 6: Distribution of Pharmacy Faculty Members according to the Level of Use of Inter Library Loan

levels with three degrees of freedom.

It is also evident from Table 3 that there is significant difference in the level of use of bibliographical service between the pharmacy faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University. It is proved by the chi-square value, which is significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom. That means, more number of faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University are using the bibliographical service when compared to faculty members of Kakatiya University. However, there are no significant differences between the faculty members of Acharaya Nagarjuna University and Andhra University on the one hand, Andhra University and Kakatiya University on the other in the level of use of bibliographical service as evidenced by the chi-square values, which are not significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom.

## Current awareness service

The distribution of pharmacy faculty members according to the level of use of current awareness service of the library, designation and university is shown in Table 4.

 $\chi^{2}$ (Asst. Prof.-Assoc. Prof): 0.0055 df: 3 TV: 0.115 Sig at 0.99 level  $\chi^{2}$ (Asst. Prof.-Prof): 0.00038 df: 3 TV: 0.115 Sig at 0.99 level

2831	: 0.	Prof):	ssoc.Prof	$\chi^2(A)$
	NS at 0.99 level	NS	TV: 0.115	df :3
3111	0.		NU-AU):	$\chi^2(A)$
	NS at 0.99 level	NS	TV: 0.115	df: 3
0008	0.		NU-KU):	$\chi^2(A)$
	Sig at 0.99 level	Sig	TV: 0.115	df: 3
6479	0.		U-KU):	$\chi^2(A)$
	NS at 0.99 level	NS	TV: 0.115	df: 3

It is evident from Table 4 that 37.98 percent of the pharmacy faculty members are using the current awareness service completely, 30.09 percent of them are using substantially, 23.85 marginally, and the remaining 8.08 percent are using not at all.

It is also evident from Table 4 that there is no significant difference in the level of use of current awareness service between the pharmacy faculties of Associate Professor and Professor. It is proved by chi-square values, which are not significant at 0.99 levels with three degrees of freedom. However, there are significant differences between the pharmacy faculties of Assistant Professor and Associate Professor on the one hand, and Assistant Professor and Professor on the other in the level of use in this regard as evidenced by the chisquare values, which are significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom. That means, more number of Assistant Professors are using the current awareness service when compared to Associate Professors and Professors.

It is also evident from Table 4 that there is a significant difference in the level of use of current awareness service between the pharmacy faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University. It is proved by the chi-square value, which is significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom. That means, more number of faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University are using the current awareness service when compared to faculty nombors of Kalatira University Harrover

 $\chi^2$  (ANU-KU): 0.0480TV: 0.115 Sig at 0.99 level  $\chi^2(AU-KU)$ : 0.6527 df: 3 TV: 0.115 NS at 0.99 level

It is evident from Table 5.15 that 37.98 percent of the pharmacy faculty members are using the selective dissemination of information service completely, 30.09 percent of them are using substantially, 23.85 marginally, and the remaining 8.08 percent are using not at all.

5 that there is

Table 7: Distribution of Pharmacy Faculty Members according to the Level of Use of

Reprographic Service								-level of use of
		Designation		ı	Universitie	S		
Level of use	Asst. Professor	Assoc. Professor	Professor	ANU	AU	KU	Total	mation service s of Associate
N ( ! 11	49	31	27	30	32	45	107	roved by chi-
Marginally	(16.61)	(22.96)	(23.48)	(20.69)	(19.39)	(19.15)	(19.63)	nificant at 0.99
0.1.4.411	78	39	33	27	46	77	150	lom. However,
Substantially	(26.44)	(28.89)	(28.69)	(18.62)	(27.88)	(32.76)	(27.52)	s between the
Completely	168	65	55	88	87	113	288	Professor and
	(56.95)	(48.15)	(47.83)	(60.69)	(52.73)	(48.09)	(52.85)	ne hand, and
Total	295	135	115	145	165	235	545	or on the other
	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	_l as evidenced

are significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom. That means more number of Assistant Professors are using the selective dissemination of information service when compared to

Associate Professors and Professors.

---- ----- ----- -- -- --- -- -- -- -current selective dissemination of information service of the library, designation and university is shown in Table 5.

 $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Assoc. Prof): 0.005df: 3 TV: 0.115 Sig at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Prof): 0.0018 df: 3 TV: 0.115 Sig at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (Assoc.Prof.-Prof): 0.2823 NS at 0.99 level df: 3 TV: 0.115  $\chi^2(ANU-AU)$ : 0.3115 df: 3 TV: 0.115 NS at 0.99 level

It is also evident from Table 5 that there is significant difference in the level of use of selective dissemination of information service between the pharmacy faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University. It is proved by the chi-square value, which is significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom. That means, more number of faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University are using the selective dissemination of information service when compared to faculty members of Kakatiya University. However, there are no significant differences between the faculty members of Acharaya Nagarjuna University and Andhra University on the one hand, Andhra University and Kakatiya University on the other in the level of use of selective dissemination of information service as evidenced by the chi-square values, which are not significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom.

# Inter library loan

The distribution of pharmacy faculty members according to the level of use of inter library loan of the library, designation and university is shown in Table 6.

```
0.0011
  \chi^2(Asst. Prof.-Assoc. Prof):
df: 3
       TV: 0.115
                       Sig at 0.99 level
  \chi^2(Asst. Prof.-Prof):
                                      0.0024
df: 3 TV: 0.115
                      Sig at 0.99 level
  \chi^2(Assoc.Prof.-Prof):
                                      0.9885
                      NS at 0.99 level
df :3
      TV: 0.115
  \chi^2(ANU-AU):
                                      0.2877
df: 3 TV: 0.115
                      NS at 0.99 level
  \chi^2(ANU-KU):
                                      0.0791
df: 3
      TV: 0.115
                      Sig at 0.99 level
  \chi^2(AU-KU):
                                      0.8478
df: 3 TV: 0.115
                      NS at 0.99 level
```

It is evident from Table 6 that 40.55 percent of the pharmacy faculty members are using the inter library loan completely, 28.99 percent of them are using substantially, 19.63 marginally, and the remaining 10.83 percent are using not at all.

It is also evident from Table 6 that there is no significant difference in the level of use of inter library loan between the pharmacy faculties of Associate Professor and Professor. It is proved by chi-square values, which is not significant at 0.99 levels with three degrees of freedom. However, there are significant differences between the pharmacy faculties of Assistant Professor and Associate Professor on the one hand, and Assistant Professor and Professor on the other in the level of use in this regard as evidenced by the chi-square values, which are significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom. That means more number of Assistant Professors are using the inter library loan when compared to Associate Professors and Professors.

Ta

Le

It is also evident from Table 6 that there is significant difference in the level of use of inter library loan between the pharmacy faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University. It is proved by the chi-square value, which is significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom. That means, more number of faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University are using the inter library loan when compared to faculty members of Kakatiya University. However, there are no significant differences between

the faculty members of Acharaya Nagarjuna University and Andhra University on the one hand, Andhra University and Kakatiya University on the other in the level of use of inter library loan as evidenced by the chisquare values, which are not significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom.

# Reprographic service

The distribution of pharmacy faculty members according to the level of use of reprographic service of the library, designation and university is shown in Table 7.

It is evident from Table 7 that 52.85 percent of the pharmacy faculty members are using the reprographic service completely, 27.52 percent of them are using substantially, and the remaining 19.63 percent are using marginally.

It is also evident from Table 7 that there are no significant differences in the level of use of reprographic service between the pharmacy faculties of Assistant Professor, Associate

$\chi^2(A)$	ANU-KU):	0.0093
df: 2	TV: 0.0201	Sig at 0.99 level
$\chi^2(A)$	AU-KU):	0.5563
df: 2	TV: 0.0.201	NS at 0.99 level

It is also evident from Table 7 that there is significant difference in the level of use of reprographic service between the pharmacy faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University. It is proved by the chi-square value, which is significant at 0.99 level with two degrees of freedom. That means, more number of faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University are using the reprographic service when compared to faculty members of Kakatiya University. However, there are no significant differences between the faculty members of Acharaya Nagarjuna University and Andhra University on the one hand, Andhra University and Kakatiya University on the other in the level of use of reprographic service as evidenced by the chi-square values, which are not significant at 0.99 level with three degrees of freedom.

Table 9: Ranked List of Library Services are Using by the Pharmacy Faculty Members

Library Services	Total Weightages	Mean Weightages	Rank	-
Borrowing facilities	1351	2.48	2	macy faculty
Reference service	1294	2.37	3	evel of use of
Bibliographical service	1157	2.12	5	lesignation and
Current awareness service	1079	1.98	7	•
SDI service	1079	1.98	7	at 47.16 percent
Intern library loan	1086	1.99	6	ibers are using
Reprographic service	1378	2.53	1	7, 30.46 percent
Internet searching	1225	2.25	4	ially, and the

df: 2

TV: 0.0.201

remaining 22.38 percent are using marginally. 0.0248  $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Assoc. Prof): df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (Asst. Prof.-Prof): 0.0209 df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (Assoc.Prof.-Prof): 0.9782 df:2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2(ANU-AU)$ : 0.2065 df: 2 TV: 0.0201 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2$ (ANU-KU): 0.1192 TV: 0.0201 df: 2 NS at 0.99 level  $\chi^2(AU-KU)$ : 0.9582

It is also evident from Table 8 that there are no significant differences in the level of use of internet facility between the pharmacy faculties of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor. It is proved by chisquare values, which are not significant at 0.99 levels with two degrees of freedom.

NS at 0.99 level

It is also evident from it that there are no significant differences in the level of use of internet facility between the pharmacy faculty members of Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra University and Kakatiya University. It is proved by the chi-square value, which is significant at 0.99 level with two degrees of freedom.

## Relative use of library services

In order to know the relative use of different library services by the pharmacy faculty members, weightages of 3,2,1 and 0 are assigned for the responses of completely, substantially, marginally and not at all used respectively. Total weightages is calculated for each service. Mean weightage is calculated by dividing the total weightage by the number of persons included in the sample. Based on the mean weightages, the services have been ranked. The different services along with their total weightages, mean weightages and ranks are shown in Table 9.

It is evident from Table 9 that the pharmacy faculty members are using reprographic facility heavily compared to other services. It is followed by borrowing facility, reference service, and internet facility, which have got second, third and fourth ranks respectively for their use. Current awareness service and selective dissemination of information service are less used compared to other services and these have got the least ranks. Inter library loan and bibliographical service have got fifth and sixth ranks respectively for being used by the pharmacy faculty members.

#### Conclusion

It can be concluded from the results that the Assistant Professors are found to be using the reference services, Inter library loan, internet searching facility, CAS and SDI service more in number when compared to Associate Professor and Professors. However, there appears to be no significant differences in the use of borrowing facilities, bibliographical service and reprographic services among the Assistant Professors, Associate Professors and Professors. Pharmacy faculty from Acharya Nagarjuna University are found to be using bibliographical service, inter library loan, reprographic faacility, CAS, and SDI services more than the pharmacy faculty of Andhra and Kakatiya Universities. Pharmacy faculty at Kakatiya University come across as better users of borrowing facility and reference service compared to their counterparts at Acharya Nagarjuna and Andhra Universities. However, there are no significant differences in the use of Internet searching among the members of pharmacy faculties of Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra University and Kakatiya University. Overall, the pharmacy faculty members are found to be using reprographic facility heavily compared to other services. On the contrary, current awareness service and selective dissemination of information service are found to be less used compared to other services.

#### References

1. Curtis KL and Weller AC. Information-seeking

- behavior: a survey of health sciences faculty use of indexes and databases. *Bulletin of Medical Library Association*. 1993; 81(4): 383-392.
- 2. Doraswamy M. Information use pattern towards library services and facilities by the faculty members of Siddhartha Educational Institutions, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh: a study. International Journal of Information Dissemination and Technology. 2012; 2(3): 176-181.
- 3. Doraswamy M. Information Seeking Behaviour and Satisfaction towards Library Resources by the Faculty Members: A Study of Siddhartha Educational Institutions, Vijayawada. *International Journal of Library and Information Management*. 2011; 2(2): 1-8.
- 4. Kuruppu DC. Information needs and information seeking behaviour of users in organizations. *Information Studies*. 1999; 5(1): 53-66.
- Pelzer NL, Wiese WH and Leysen JM. Library use and information-seeking behavior of veterinary medical students revisited in the

- electronic environment. *Bulletin of the Medical Library Association*. 1988; 86(3): 346-355.
- Prasad HN. Information seeking behaviour of physical scientists and social scientists: a report. Annals of Library Science and Documentation. 1998; 45(2): 41-48.
- 7. Reddy SHK and Karisiddappa CR. Information seeking behaviour of the professionals in the field of disabilities with special reference to mental handicap in India. *Annals of Library Science and Documentation*. 1997; 44(2): 54-64.
- 8. Sethi A. Information seeking behaviour of social scientists: an Indian conspectus. New Delhi: Hindustan Publishing Corporation; 1990.
- Suriya M, Sangeetha G, Nambi MA. Informationseeking behaviour of faculty members from government arts colleges in Cuddalore district. In: Kaul, H.K. & Patil, S.K. (Eds). New Delhi: Library and information Networking (NACLIN 2004); 2004, 285-292.